This is a partition record for the estate of Casper Emerick, dated 16 June 1831. It proves that Catherine, the wife of Frederick Heck, was the daughter of Casper Emerick.

Marriage records in Fairfield County and Perry County, Ohio, show that Kitty Overmyer married John Henry on 2 Jul *1815*, and then Catherine Henry married Frederick Heck on *25* Mar 1828. This is the same Catherine Heck and Frederick Heck who signed the deeds in 1834 along with the five children of George Overmier.

Catherine Heck was the wife of George Overmyer and the mother of his five children. Her maiden name was Emerick (Emerich in some records).

Many thanks to Patsy Kishler for this record. It is of poor quality due to the deterioration of the book, but you can make out the names in several places. Perry County will not copy this book anymore because of its delicate condition. Perhaps someone can try to get a digital image of this record at some point.

From: Sandy Walkenhorst [mailto:sjwalken@msn.com]

Sent: Saturday, September 06, 2003 4:53 PM

) The State of Olica Verey Cours riek, Prederick Mocks an his wife . Thelip Wot - his wife Lacot Han ! Theas at the bourt Joneset in Elizabeth his wife - Leonge Colemans the Mornor ablica , his wife - Lacob Colomound Petition for Partition hiscorfe Thomas Davis and Larmes, Wilson Enqueries of paceate Sudges of " Pleas within and for said lounty on the first day of I wenter Exceeded and their our remembered that here foron towit on the Quenty with day of March a undered and thirty one said Petitioner by I. B. Deton Eag his Counable the Court of bournor Pleas of Perry County and State Petition in the work and figures following Viletioner John Ennick of the County of Perry aforesaid Represent Court that Caspes Ernnick later of Said County Dec died the for emple fore hundred and one acces on theread and saturated iter of Section three in Township fifting Range distant thereasonts situated in the South could quarter of the Dection aforesaid in said courty, and in the Mutto States the surviving heirs it law and Children of Said Cuspir Emerica Petetioner, Lacob Emick, Catharine internarrud largaret intermarried with Philip Wolf Clisabith intermation ent haire all of Said Courty also Sugan who was historina oleman and now Que and Bar bar menteens arand with Il the latter of the Country of Starken sand Jaus in Medina County in land State. col Emrich Frederick Heck bathanie Heck Margaret May teman Susan Coleman Philip Walf Elizabeth Moure may be made Defendants to this Solitands Iding the said promise in parcenary with this petitions tion thereof among all the said louis ail he prays this las Rastetion thereof to be and accord bound will every Politioner es in diety Deighten hundrie Lafterer on or to wit at the april Time and Court it appearing to the satisfaction of the lesient that of the Bendency of said Petetion had beingine - that partition be mad forth in social Politions and that a work of antition inty commanding him that by the outhout Michaelin mul Forsy the free holders of said Courty

to be partitioned and set off agreeably to law and the Prayer of said Per Hon and make Report to the ment Turn of this Court and Cause Continued And therespow afterwards towit on the 18-day of May 1831 our with of Portion - speed to the Theriff as of oreaed in the worth and figures following touch -With The States of this Persysbonery So. To the Theriff of said County Greeting the Million Sources district the landing of March 1831 file in the office of the Clerk of the Check of the Check of the Clerk of the Check of the Partition of me here dead and one acres or three abouts of land situated in the 6 -th Collegious two fabilions there in Township feftew of Range Sexture and also of three sacres on there saits atualed in the South west quarter of the Section Township and Range aportacid arrong the Children and hers of basper Emrik Deceased (who died the ourse and popupor of said land) towit I olus Emrick the Petitioner Cuthanine beech wife of Inederich Herek, Margaret Wolf wife of Philip Wolf Coligabith Maise wife of Lacol Masin Susan Coleman and Barbara Coloman wife of Lacob Coloman who hold said land as tenants in Common And whereas oftenoards to wit at the opil Verns a.D. 1831 of said Guet A was ordered by the bount that Partition be made of the lands aforeround & We there fore Command you that by the outho of Nicholas Stroke, Soles Vounatte and Janual Foreighte you Carelin the lands approach to be partitioned agreety to law and the prayer of said Filters Sand that you have the leport of the Said Partitions before the locat at maid Mearper President four said lovet at Joures of this 18 day of May 1831 William F. Darot elko. and the shiriff of the County agone aid to whom the foregoing writ was in form aloresaid directed makes return thereof to the Court here thereon indones that is to bay this withe state of this Terry County So. agreeably to the Command of this will on the Containing Lucien Seconsonous the Conthin names Mitholas Stroll, John Warata the sometimes of the withing come them to meet on the within mention prem I clession thoday the of me and having Cauch them to be duly Swon on the lawing Sweet behalf ofweets and they did on the day and year last ofore air were there hand and realisetters to me that the said premises Could not be portitioned a preside the clow teams of said lord and that they had approused the same of four dollars and fifty Couty percere and the Vaid report is herewith returned and made a part of my return for Boo. B. Clean Shy ?C The State of this Perry County So We the Said Nicholas Stroke Show anathan and Samuel Forey the being Summones by the Shory of Said County and being just duly swome in this behalf as the law directs met on the law within directed to be Partitioned on the 16" day of Sene a. D. 1831, and having on actual race examined the Said Premises are of opinion that the said land on the

partitioned among the heirs named in this writ without prejudice to on specimo the whole of said promises do therefore on our sould each and actual view of the said lands value and approve the lands attended to be worth the and succents per acre to be the same more or less - Given under our hands the 16th day of June 1831.

John Vanata (seal)

Michelas Stroll (seal)

- - was way war at the budy storm a. D. begitter hundred add there e of said Court the Theight of said county having returns hereinto court his out A partition with the report of the Partitioners hereto fore approceedy setting forthe that they had vived the land named in laid corit and that the land cannot bestivided with out manifesty injuring the whole of said land and the Valere thiring and infrancestral you of said land appraised the same at four dollars and stiff Contacting and and of the heir appearing and electing to take the said premiers at the appearing value this ordered by the bourt that aworder ifecents the showing Commanding him to sell the land agreeaid after giving notice of the time and place of such sale o sreadly to law on the following terms, two one third in hand one third in one year and the remained third in two years from the day of sale with interest or each payment from the day of Vale -Cuch now at this day to wit at a bourt of bourson The holder withing for the locusty aforesaid before the Judges aforesaid and at the time and place fine therein neter en mentioned the Sheriff of said County returned here with Court his order of Sale in the agreed Cause endersed thereon that he hardens persucces to the Command I said order advertises the oforesaid tracts of lailed for sale mather two times New & Raper printed in Somewhite Said County forthery day dice fewalg han dud and thirty one offered the same for sale at fublic out orgat the door of the court house in Somestin Sand County and that Lober Adeach having bid four dollars and birty six Cerets per acre and no person bidding more, he bring the highestand sest bidder and that sure being more that the two thirds of the appraised Walles there of it was thruck off and Sold to him at that price and the Court having Carefully examined the proceeding of said Office Sin the Principal Lind the same to be in all respects in Conformity with law Continuent and direct that the Shiriff agricació make to the purchasen aforising a duo al the Ruchen money as agons and in due forming law apin the Rayment of

----Original Message-----

From: Sandy Walkenhorst [mailto:sjwalken@msn.com]

Sent: Saturday, September 06, 2003 4:53 PM

1. I have the deeds where George Overmyer's (b. 1755) estate is settled (stating that Levi and Nancy were two of five heirs of son, George Overmier, who was by then deceased) in 1832.

- 2. I have the deeds where his son, the above George Overmier, bought three lots in Middleton or Middletown (later Somerset), Fairfield (later Perry) County, Ohio in 1812.
- 3. I have the deed where these same lots in Somerset, Perry Co, OH were sold, showing his 5 children and spouses signing along with Catherine and Frederick Heck in 1834.
- 4. I have a deed where Catherine Heck, widow of Frederick Heck, sold property to George Overmyer in 1849.
- 5. I also have a deed showing that on the same day George and Susan Overmyer sold the property to someone else. (This would be my George Overmier and Susannah Houtz, youngest of George Overmyer and Catherine Emerich's five children.

The above deeds are readable - they tire the eyes, but they are readable.

- 6. I have Patsy's partition record, which shows that Catherine Heck was Catherine Emerich.
- 7. Evelyn sent me a deed (poor copy) which shows that Frederick Heck and wife Catherine sold property to John Heck in Perry Co in 1825.

Does anyone have a copy of the marriage record of Catherine (Kitty) Overmier to John Henry on 2 Jul 1815? Fairfield Co records in Lancaster, Ohio - the 1803-23 Vol. pg. 94

Does anyone have a copy of the marriage record of Catherine Henry to Frederick Heck on 25 Mar 1828? Vol 1, pg. 94 - records in New Lexington (is this Perry Co, OH?)

I originally got these deeds because my goal is to somehow prove this lineage to DAR - their standard of proof is very high. I just don't know if it will work when we don't have a birth record for George or a marriage record for him and Catherine Emerich. However, thanks to all of you my arguments are getting stronger. I will have to wait for Catherine's other marriage records, however. I have about 10-15 other Revolutionary War direct ancestors, but I just really want to do this line first, since it is my patriarchal line. Overmier was my maiden name.